arXiv:1603.03007v1 [cs.RO] 9 Mar 2016

Robot Dream

Alexander Tchitchigin[1], Max Talanov[2], Larisa Safina[3], and Manuel Mazzara[4]

[1] Innopolis University, Russia,
a.chichigin@innopolis.ru
Kazan Federal University, Russia,
a.tchichigin@it.kfu.ru
[2] Kazan Federal University, Russia,
max.talanov@gmail.com
[3] Innopolis University, Russia,
l.safina@innopolis.ru
[4] Innopolis University, Russia,
m.mazzara@innopolis.ru

Abstract. In this position paper we present a novel approach to neurobiologically plausible implementation of emotional reactions and behaviors for real-time autonomous robotic systems. The working metaphor we use is the "day" and "night" phases of mammalian life. During the "day" phase a robotic system stores the inbound information and is controlled by the light-weight rule-based system in real time. In contrast to that, during the "night" phase the stored information is been transferred to the supercomputing system to update the realistic neural network: emotional and behavioral strategies.

Keywords: robotics, spiking neural networks, artificial emotions, affective computing

1 Introduction

Some time ago we have asked ourselves, "Why could emotional phenomena be so important for robots and AI (artificial intelligence) systems?" As for humans: emotional mechanisms manage processes like attention, resource allocation, goal setting, etc. These mechanisms seems to be beneficial for computational systems in general and therefore for AI and robotic systems. Still these phenomena tend to be "difficult" for computational as well as AI and robotics researchers. To implement all phenomena related to emotions we have decided to use simulation of nerobiological processes.

Besides, without understanding and simulation of emotions the effective AI–human communication is almost impossible. Let's review one real life example. Imagine a concierge robot in a hotel, finishing checking in a fresh lodger, when a man from room 317 rushes into concierge desk in a panic. "Water tap is broken and it starts flooding the whole floor!" Even in a case when it is optimal to finish check-in first, it is very likely that the new guest would wish a concierge to switch immediately to arisen problem. That happens due to empathy between

human beings. If a robot does not understand emotions, it can not prioritize tasks appropriately, which would damage client's satisfaction and hotel's reputation.

We could identify the main contribution of this paper as an approach that allows to expand practical autonomous real-time control of a robotic platform with realistic emotional appraisal and behavior based on spiking neural network simulation and neuromodulation.

In section 2 we substantiate the need for neurobiologically plausible emotional simulation and point out the mismatch between computational resources available to current robotic systems and what is required for neuronal simulation. In section 3 we introduce our concept how a robotic system execution can be separated into "day" and "night" phases in order to bridge the gap between a robotic system and supercomputer performing the simulation. In section 4 we introduce the notion of "bisimulation" to answer the questions of learning and mapping from realistic neural network to rules-based control system. Section 5 provides the information about the actual topics in the field of affecting computations, notable authors and research projects in this area. Finally we sum up the ideas presented in the paper and discuss the arose questions with attention to the steps we are going to take in order to resolve them in section 6.

2 The Problem

There are several cognitive architectures that implement emotion phenomena, some of the most notable are listed in section 5. Rather than implementing the emotional model in a computational system, we re-implemented the neurobiological basis using simulation. This was done to create a biologically plausible approach and to validate the results of our simulations from neurobiological perspective. The other way around could not provide proper evidence that the result could be regarded as emotional phenomenon. We used the model of basic mechanisms of a mammalian brain via neuromodulation and their mapping to basic affective states [14, 26, 27, 28, 29]. We used the realistic spiking neural networks with neuromodulation reconstructing all brain structures involved into the pathways of neuromodulators of the "cube of emotions" by Hugo Lövheim [14]. Unfortunately, current robotic systems usually do not have enough memory and computational capacity to run realistic simulations of human brain activity.

For example, this is computational resources of rather advanced bipedal robotic platform AR-601:

- CPU — 4th Gen Intel Core i7-4700EQ 4-Core 3.4GHz processor;
- System Memory — 1 x204-Pin DDR3L 1333MHz SO-DIMM up to 8 GB;

However the simulation of 1% of human brain required a cluster of 250 K-supercomputers (each contains 96 computing nodes, each node contains a 2.0 GHz 8-core SPARC64 processor and 16 GB of memory) that was done by RIKEN institute in 2013 and this simulation was slower than human brain in 1000 times [12]. According to the estimates of the Human brain project the computational capacity to simulate whole human brain should be 30 exaflop that is not feasible at the moment.

3 Day phase and Night phase

To enable (soft) real-time behaviour from a robotic system it should run some kind of traditional rule-based control system. We do not constrain type or architecture of this system, it might be some sort of Boolean expert system, fuzzy-logic based or Bayesian-logic based system, possibly augmented with Deep-learning pre-processing cascades for visual and/or audio input channels. In our approach robot's control system should not only produce appropriate reaction for input stimulus but also record these stimuli for post-processing during "night phase".

So we propose that robotic system records and stores all input signals for further post-processing. It might store either raw inputs (video and audio streams for example) or some higher-level aggregated form like Deep-learning cascade output (if control system uses such pre-processing mechanisms). And when robot "goes to sleep", it transmits all new recorded inputs to the supercomputer over some network connection. Actually robotic system might not "sleep all night long", it can stay active while supercomputer processes new information, we assume only that the robot regularly "takes a break" to transmit recorded information and later to receive results and update rules of its control system.

Finally, the supercomputer runs realistic spiking neural network that simulates brain regions involved into neuromodulation pathways. This network receives as an input actual signals recorded by robotic system in a raw or preprocessed (aggregated) form. Then the network processes inputs in the simulated time (which might be faster or slower than clock time depending on available computational power), so we can infer emotional response of the system [24, 30] from resulting neural activity and neuromodulators levels. From emotional responses of spiking neural network we generate updates to the rules of a control system which are sent back to the robot.

4 Bisimulation

With the approach of simulating separately a reaction of realistic neural network to input stimuli in order to infer an appropriate emotional response, two essential questions arise. First of all, how are we going to train our spiking neural network so it produces relevant and suitable emotional response, and after that how are we going to understand what particular rules of robot's control system we need to update to accommodate emotional mismatch if any?

To answer both questions we propose an approach that we call bisimulation by analogy with the notion in state transition systems. The idea is to simultaneously run both realistic neural network and a copy of robot's control system on the same input. This immediately gives an answer to the second question as we can see which rules of the control system gets activated on current input and what emotional response they imply so we can compare that with emotional reaction inferred from spiking neural network and make necessary adjustments.

But we can use bisimulation to address the question of spiking neural network training too. If we have a rule-based control system with reasonably good

emotional reactions we can use it as a source of reward stimuli for neural network. So initially we start running both neural network and control system on sample input and whenever control system produce positive emotional value of the current stimulus, we increase dopamine level in neural network simulating positive feedback (analogously to reward reaction (e.g. to feeding) in mammals). Respectively when control system signals negative emotional value, we decrease dopamine level in neural network.

After realistic neural network learns to mimic emotional responses of control system it can evolve emotional reactions in unsupervised way as it happens in mammals. From that point we can start adjusting emotional reactions of the control system to ever improving ones of spiking neural network.

Speaking of adjusting the rules of a control system with respect to emotional feedback from neural network we highlight several aspects of decision-making that undergo influence of emotional state [1, 25]:

- decisive / less decisive
- speed of decision-making
- bias to positive or negative "thoughts"
- optimistic / conservative
- careful / risky

Let's discuss how these traits could affect a rules-based control system taking as an example NARS framework [31], based on non-axiomatic logic. NARS system was specifically designed to work under bounded amount of knowledge, computational resources and available memory. Therefore the system dynamically redistributes resources among tasks and processes that are needed to be performed according to assigned priorities and relevance. Hence NARS can spend less resources on one task and get approximate answer and much more resources on another task, which leads to obtaining a more precise solution.

Thus the most straightforward aspect to map is the speed of decision-making: the more computational and memory resources we allocate to the whole NARS system the faster it provides decisions to perform necessary actions and vice versa. This could be implemented as some kind of CPU-boost in case of excitement or fear emotional states. Furthermore we can say that NARS have built-in "conservativeness handle": parameter k in denominator of confidence formula. The higher the value of k, the more unconfident the system about its knowledge, the more effort it will spend to ascertain a solution. We can model inclination of the system to "positive" or "negative" "thoughts" adjusting relative priorities of facts with and without logical negation. Also risky behavior could be modeled by lowering the confidence threshold of accepted actions.

Similar mapping for decision-making traits can be constructed for probabilistic or fuzzy-logic based control systems, though in each particular case we have to carefully consider available parameters and adjust the mapping according to semantics and pragmatics of the control system we work with.

5 Related work

Starting from the late 90-es the interest to emotions and emotional representations in computational systems has been growing [3, 19, 22, 21]. This rise of activity is based on understanding of the role of emotions in human intelligence and consciousness that was indicated by several neuroscientists [7, 6, 18]. Starting from the seminal book by Rosalind Picard, [20] (though we could mention earlier attempts to implement emotions in the machines [4]), we could identify two main directions in a new research field of affective computing: emotion recognition and re-implementation of emotions in a computational system. There are several cognitive architectures that are capable of the re-implementation of emotional phenomena in different extent, starting from SOAR [13] and ACT-R [11] to modern BICA [23]. The interest in implementation of emotional mechanisms is based on the role of emotional coloring in appraisal, decision making mechanisms, and emotional behavior, indicated by Damasio in [5]. Our approach takes a step further on the road for neurobiologically plausible model of emotions [30].

We have to mention other perspectives on emotional re-implementations in a computational machines: Arbib and Fellous [8, 2] created the neurobiological background for the direction to neurobiologically inspired cognitive architectures; the appraisal aspects are in focus of Marsella and Gratch research [17, 16, 10] as well as in Lowe and Ziemke works [32, 15]. As the neuropsychological basement for our cognitive architecture we used "Cube of emotions" created by Hugo Lövheim [14]. It bridges the psychological and neurobiological phenomena in one relatively easy to implement programmatically model based on three-dimensional space of three neuromodulators: noradrenaline, serotonin, dopamine, which is its main advantage from our perspective. For the implementation we used realistic neural network simulator NEST [9] recreating the neural structures of the mammalian brain. As it was mentioned earlier in this paper, the processing of the simulation took 4 hours of supercomputer's processing time to calculate 1000 milliseconds.

6 Conclusion

In our article we described an idea or approach for augmentation of autonomous robotic systems with mechanisms of emotional revision and feedback. There are many open questions: input formats for realistic neural network, emotional revision thresholds and emotional equalizing (homeostasis) and so on. On the one hand, different answers to these questions allow adaptation of the approach to a range of possible architectures of robots' control systems. On the other hand, even better solution would be a software framework implementing our approach with several pluggable adapters to accommodate the most popular choices for robots' "brains".

So our next step is to implement a proof-of-concept of proposed architectural scheme for some simple autonomous robotic system. We are not going to start with a concierge android right away. Our current idea is to develop

some sort of robotic vacuum cleaner prototype that does not even clean but can bump into humans or pets and receive emotional feedback, for example because it is too noisy or because of incorrectly prioritized work tasks. To simplify human feedback mechanism some remote control device could be used for example with two buttons: for positive and negative feedback. Later we can employ some audio-analysis system to infer emotional reaction from verbal commands to robo-cleaner. Main research question here is to what extent these emotional mechanisms can affect robot's behavior? And we hope to establish a foundation for desired software framework.

References

[1] Ahn, H., Picard, R.W.: Affective cognitive learning and decision making: The role of emotions. In: The 18th European Meeting on Cybernetics and Systems Research (EMCSR 2006) (2006)

[2] Arbib, M., Fellous, J.M.: Emotions: from brain to robot. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 8(12), 554–559 (2004)

[3] Breazeal, C.: Designing Sociable Robots. MIT Press (2004)

[4] Breazeal, C.: Emotion and sociable humanoid robots. Elsevier Science (2002)

[5] Damasio, A.: Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain. Penguin Books (1994)

[6] Damasio, A.: The feeling of what happens : body and emotion in the making of consciousness. New York (1999)

[7] Damasio, A.R.: Emotion in the perspective of an integrated nervous system. Brain Research Reviews (1998)

[8] Fellous, J.M.: The neuromodulatory basis of emotion. The Neuro-scientist 5, 283–294 (1999)

[9] Gewaltig, M.O., Diesmann, M.: Nest (neural simulation tool). Scholarpedia 2(4), 1430 (2007)

[10] Gratch, J., Marsella, S.: Evaluating a computational model of emotion. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems 11, 23–43 (2005)

[11] Harrison, A.: jact-r: Java act-r. In: Proceedings of the 8th Annual ACT-R Workshop (2002)

[12] Himeno, R.: Largest neuronal network simulation achieved using k computer. web (08 2013), `http://www.riken.jp/en/pr/press/2013/20130802_1/`

[13] Laird, J.E.: Extending the soar cognitive architecture (2008), `http://ai.eecs.umich.edu/people/laird/papers/Laird-GAIC.pdf`

[14] Lovheim, H.: A new three-dimensional model for emotions and monoamine neurotransmitters. Medical Hypotheses 78 78, 341–348 (2012)

[15] Lowe, R., Ziemke, T.: The role of reinforcement in affective computation triggers, actions and feelings. In: IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Creativity and Affective Computing (CICAC) (2013)

[16] Marsella, S., Gratch, J.: Modeling coping behavior in virtual humans: Don't worry, be happy. In: Appears in the 2nd International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (2003)

[17] Marsella, S., Gratch, J., Petta, P.: Computational models of emotion. In: Scherer, K., Bänziger, T., Roesch, E. (eds.) A blueprint for a affective computing: A sourcebook and manual. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2010)

[18] Murata, A., Fadiga, L., Fogassi, L., Gallese, V., Raos, V., Rizzolatti, G.: Object representation in the ventral premotor cortex (area f5) of the monkey. J Neurophysiol. 78(4), 2226–30. (1997)
[19] Picard, R.W.: Affective computing. Tech. rep., M.I.T Media Laboratory Perceptual Computing Section (1995)
[20] Picard, R.W.: Affective Computing. Massachusets Institute of Technology (1997)
[21] Picard, R.W.: What does it mean for a computer to "have" emotions? In: Trappl, R., Petta, P., S., P. (eds.) Emotions in Humans and Artifacts (2001)
[22] Picard, R.W.: Affective computing: Challenges. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies 59, 55–64 (2003)
[23] Samsonovich, A.V.: Modeling human emotional intelligence in virtual agents. Tech. rep., Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (2013)
[24] Talanov, M., Toschev, A.: Computational emotional thinking and virtual neurotransmitters. International Journal of Synthetic Emotions (IJSE) 5(1) (2014)
[25] Talanov, M., Vallverdú, J., Distefano, S., Mazzara, M., Delhibabu, R.: Neuromodulating cognitive architecture: Towards biomimetic emotional ai. In: Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA). pp. 587–592. ISSN : 1550-445X (2015)
[26] Tomkins, S.: Affect imagery consciousness volume I the positive affects. New York: Springer Publishing Company (1962)
[27] Tomkins, S.: Affect imagery consciousness, vol. I, II, III. Springer Publishing Company, New York (1962, 1963,1991)
[28] Tomkins, S.: Affect imagery consciousness volume II the negative affects. New York: Springer Publishing Company (1963)
[29] Tomkins, S.: The quest for primary motives: biography and autobiography of an idea. J Pers Soc Psychol, 41, 306–335 (1981)
[30] Vallverdú, J., Talanov, M., Distefano, S., Mazzara, M., Tchitchigin, A., Nurgaliev, I.: A cognitive architecture for the implementation of emotions in computing systems. Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures (2015)
[31] Wang, P.: Non-Axiomatic Logic: A Model of Intelligent Reasoning. World Scientific (2013)
[32] Ziemke, T., Lowe, R.: On the role of emotion in embodied cognitive architectures: From organisms to robots. Cogn Comput pp. 104–117 (2009)



Fig. 1: Night phase and Day phase.

a. In this position a robotic system transfers the accumulated experience into spiking neural network

b. Processing is done as follows:

1. First the accumulated experience is transferred from a robotic system to the processing center
2. Then bisimulation starts producing a set of updated rules for robot's control system
3. Finally update is transferred to the robotic system

c. The updated rules of the control system are transferred to the robotic system and applied to it

d. The robotic system runs updated learning, appraisal and behavioral strategies in current environment and accumulate new experience to be processed again starting from a